

XRF PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS SHEET
Princeton Gamma-Tech, Inc.; XK-3

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 25, 1995

EDITION NO.: 1

MANUFACTURER AND MODEL:

Make: *Princeton Gamma-Tech, Inc.*
Model: *XK-3*
Source: *Co⁵⁷*
Note: This sheet supersedes all previous sheets for the XRF instrument of the make, model, and source shown above

EVALUATION DATA SOURCE AND DATE:

This sheet is supplemental information to be used in conjunction with Chapter 7 of the HUD *Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing* ("HUD Guidelines"). Performance parameters shown on this sheet are calculated from evaluation data collected during the EPA/HUD field evaluation study conducted from March through October 1993. The data were collected from approximately 1,200 test locations using three instruments. One instrument had a March 1993 source and the other two instruments had April 1993 sources. All three instruments had sources with 10 mCi initial strengths. The results of this study are reported in *A Field Test of Lead-Based Paint Testing Technologies: Technical Report*, EPA 747-R-95-002b, May 1995.

FIELD OPERATION GUIDANCE

OPERATING PARAMETERS:

Performance parameters shown in this sheet are applicable only when operating the instrument under the same conditions as the evaluation testing and using the procedures described in Chapter 7 of the HUD Guidelines. Operating parameters include:

- Manufacturer-recommended warm-up and quality control procedures
- Use the Multifamily Decision Flowchart for determining the presence of lead on a component type in multifamily housing
- Nominal 15-second readings on three locations per component for single-family housing and one location per component for multifamily housing
- Calibration checks are taken using the red (1.02 mg/cm²) NIST Standard Reference Material (SRM No. 2579) paint film
- Readings for determining the substrate correction values are taken on bare substrate covered with red (1.02 mg/cm²) NIST SRM paint film
- Lead-based paint is defined as paint with lead equal to or in excess of 1.0 mg/cm².

XRF PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS SHEET
Princeton Gamma-Tech, Inc.; XK-3

XRF CALIBRATION CHECK:

Chapter 7 of the HUD Guidelines recommends using a calibration check procedure to determine the operating condition of the XRF instrument. For this instrument, calibration check readings should be taken on wood. If the observed calibration check average minus 1.02 mg/cm² is greater than the positive (plus) calibration check tolerance value, or less than the negative (minus) calibration check tolerance value, then the instructions provided by the manufacturer should be followed in order to bring the instrument back into control before any more XRF testing is done. Testing must cease for those instruments with readings that exceed the calibration check tolerance limits in accordance with manufacturer's specifications. This calibration check is estimated to produce an incorrect result (that is, a finding that the instrument is out of calibration) very infrequently - once out of every 200 times this procedure is followed.

minus value = -0.5 mg/cm²
plus value = +1.3 mg/cm²

(Operators may choose to use the limits in the manufacturer's instruction manual for this calibration check. The rate of an incorrect result if the limits in the manufacturer's instruction manual are followed may be different from the rate of an incorrect result stated here).

FOR XRF RESULTS BELOW 4.0 mg/cm², SUBSTRATE CORRECTION RECOMMENDED FOR:

Brick, Concrete, Drywall, Metal, Plaster, and Wood.

SUBSTRATE CORRECTION NOT RECOMMENDED FOR:

None.

SUBSTRATE CORRECTION VALUE COMPUTATION:

Chapter 7 of the HUD Guidelines provides guidance on correcting XRF results for substrate bias. Supplemental guidance for using the red (1.02 mg/cm²) NIST SRM paint film for substrate correction is provided below.

XRF results are corrected for substrate bias by subtracting from each XRF result a correction value determined separately in each house for single-family housing or in each development for multifamily housing, for each substrate. The correction value is an average of XRF readings taken over red NIST SRM (1.02 mg/cm²) paint films at test locations that had been scraped clean of their paint covering. Compute the correction values as follows:

- Using the same XRF instrument, take three readings on a bare substrate area covered with the red NIST SRM (1.02 mg/cm²) paint film. Repeat this procedure by taking three more readings on a second bare substrate area of the same substrate covered with the red NIST SRM (1.02 mg/cm²) paint film.
- Compute the correction value for each substrate type by computing the average of all six readings as shown below.

XRF PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS SHEET
Princeton Gamma-Tech, Inc.; XK-3

For each substrate type:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Correction} \\ \text{Value} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1^{st} + 2^{nd} + 3^{rd} + 4^{th} + 5^{th} + 6^{th} \text{ Reading}}{6} - 1.02 \text{ mg/cm}^2$$

- Repeat this procedure for each substrate tested in the house or housing development.

INCONCLUSIVE RANGE OR THRESHOLD:

XRF results are classified using either the threshold or the inconclusive range. In single-family housing, an XRF result is the average of three readings taken on a testing combination. (A testing combination is a location on a painted surface as defined in Chapter 7 of the HUD Guidelines). In multifamily housing, an XRF result is a single reading taken on a testing combination. For computing the XRF result, use all digits that are reported by the instrument. For the threshold, results are classified as positive if they are greater than or equal to the threshold, and negative if they are less than the threshold. There is no inconclusive classification when using the threshold. For the inconclusive range, results are classified as positive if they are greater than or equal to the upper limit of the inconclusive range, and negative if they are less than or equal to the lower limit of the inconclusive range. Thresholds and inconclusive ranges were determined for comparing results to the 1.0 mg/cm² standard. For a listing of laboratories recommended by the EPA National Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program (NLLAP) for the analysis of samples to resolve an inconclusive XRF result or additional confirmational analysis, call the National Lead Information Center Clearinghouse at 1-800-424-LEAD.

DESCRIPTION	SUBSTRATE	THRESHOLD (mg/cm ²)	INCONCLUSIVE RANGE (mg/cm ²)
Readings corrected for substrate bias on all substrates	Brick	None	0.9 to 1.3
	Concrete	None	0.8 to 1.7
	Drywall	1.0	None
	Metal	None	0.4 to 1.8
	Plaster	None	0.7 to 1.4
	Wood	None	0.9 to 1.4

INSTRUCTIONS FOR EVALUATING XRF TESTING:

Chapter 7 of the HUD Guidelines recommends several options for evaluating XRF testing. Among those options is the following procedure which may be used after XRF testing has been completed. In single-family housing, an XRF result is the average of three readings taken on a testing combination. (A testing combination is a location on a painted surface as defined in Chapter 7 of the HUD Guidelines). In multifamily housing, an XRF result is a single reading taken on a testing combination. If a multifamily housing development is being retested, randomly select two units from within the development from which the ten testing combinations should be randomly selected.

Randomly select ten testing combinations for retesting from each house or from the two selected units.

Conduct XRF retesting at the ten testing combinations selected for retesting.

XRF PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS SHEET
Princeton Gamma-Tech, Inc.; XK-3

Determine if the XRF testing in the units or house passed or failed the test by applying the steps below.

Compute the Retest Tolerance Limit by the following steps:

Determine XRF results for the original and repeat XRF readings. Do not correct the original or retest results for substrate bias. In single-family housing a result is defined as the average of three readings. In multifamily housing, a result is a single reading. Therefore, there will be ten original and ten repeat XRF results for each house or for the two selected units.

Compute the square of each of the ten original and ten repeat XRF results.

Add these squares of XRF results together. Call this quantity C.

Multiply the number C by 0.0072. Call this quantity D.

Add the number 0.032 to D. Call this quantity E.

Take the square root of E. Call this quantity F.

Multiply F by 1.645. The result is the Retest Tolerance Limit.

Compute the overall average of all ten original XRF results over all ten testing combinations selected for retesting.

Compute the overall average of all ten repeat XRF results over all ten testing combinations selected for retesting.

Take the difference of the overall average of the ten original XRF results and the overall average of the ten repeat XRF results. If the difference is negative, drop the negative sign.

If the difference of the overall averages is less than the Retest Tolerance Limit, the inspection has passed the retest. If the difference of the overall averages equals or exceeds the Retest Tolerance Limit, this procedure should be repeated with ten new testing combinations. If the difference of the overall averages is equal to or greater than the Retest Tolerance Limit a second time, then the inspection should be considered deficient.

Use of this procedure is estimated to produce a spurious result approximately 1% of the time. That is, results of this procedure will call for further examination when no examination is warranted in approximately 1 out of 100 dwelling units tested.

XRF PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS SHEET
Princeton Gamma-Tech, Inc.; XK-3

BIAS AND PRECISION:

Do not use these bias and precision data to correct for substrate bias. These bias and precision data were computed without substrate correction from samples with reported laboratory results less than 4.0 mg/cm² lead. There were 143 testing locations with a laboratory reported result equal to or greater than 4.0 mg/cm² lead. Of these, 1 had an XRF reading less than 1.0 mg/cm². These data are for illustrative purposes only. Actual bias must be determined on the site. Inconclusive ranges provided above already account for bias and precision. Bias and precision ranges are provided whenever significant variability was found between machines of the same model. Units are in mg/cm².

MEASURED AT	SUBSTRATE	BIAS (mg/cm ²)	BIAS RANGES (mg/cm ²)	PRECISION* (mg/cm ²)	PRECISION RANGES (mg/cm ²)
0.0 mg/cm ²	Brick	0.9	-	0.6	-
	Concrete	1.3	(0.6, 1.9)	0.6	(0.2, 0.6)
	Drywall	-0.1	(-0.3, 0.2)	0.3	(0.2, 0.3)
	Metal	0.9	(0.5, 1.4)	0.5	(0.4, 0.5)
	Plaster	0.8	(0.4, 1.7)	0.5	(0.4, 0.5)
	Wood	0.2	(-0.1, 1.0)	0.4	(0.3, 0.5)
0.5 mg/cm ²	Brick	0.9	-	0.6	-
	Concrete	1.3	(0.7, 1.9)	0.6	(0.5, 0.7)
	Drywall	0.0	(-0.2, 0.2)	0.4	(0.3, 0.4)
	Metal	1.1	(0.7, 1.6)	0.8	(0.4, 0.9)
	Plaster	0.8	(0.2, 1.6)	0.6	(0.4, 0.6)
	Wood	0.4	(0.1, 1.1)	0.6	(0.3, 0.9)
1.0 mg/cm ²	Brick	0.9	-	0.6	-
	Concrete	1.3	(0.7, 2.0)	0.7	(0.6, 0.8)
	Drywall	0.0	(-0.1, 0.2)	0.4	(0.4, 0.5)
	Metal	1.3	(0.9, 1.7)	1.0	(0.5, 1.1)
	Plaster	0.8	(0.0, 1.6)	0.6	(0.4, 0.7)
	Wood	0.6	(0.3, 1.3)	0.7	(0.3, 1.2)
2.0 mg/cm ²	Brick	0.9	-	0.6	-
	Concrete	1.3	(0.7, 2.0)	0.8	(0.6, 0.9)
	Drywall	0.1	(0.1, 0.2)	0.6	(0.5, 0.6)
	Metal	1.7	(1.4, 2.1)	1.4	(0.6, 1.6)
	Plaster	0.7	(-0.3, 1.6)	0.7	(0.4, 0.8)
	Wood	1.0	(0.8, 1.5)	0.9	(0.3, 1.7)
* Precision at 1 standard deviation					

A document titled *Methodology for XRF Performance Characteristic Sheets* provides an explanation of the statistical methodology used to construct the data in the sheets and provides empirical results from using the recommended inconclusive ranges or thresholds for specific XRF instruments. For a copy of this document call the National Lead Information Center Clearinghouse at 1-800-424-LEAD.

This XRF Performance Characteristic Sheet is a joint product of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The issuance of this sheet does not constitute rulemaking. The information provided here is intended solely as guidance to be used in conjunction with Chapter 7 of the *Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing*. EPA and HUD reserve the right to revise this guidance. Please address questions and comments on this sheet to: Director, Office of Lead-Based Paint Abatement and Poisoning Prevention, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Room B-133, 451 Seventh St, S.W., Washington, DC 20410.